

EVALUATE SLIDE PERFORMANCE BY COMPARING SLIDE DEPTH, LENGTH, TOOLFACE, ROP, AND EFFICIENCY.

| No. | Slide Depth (ft) | ROP (ft/hr) | Sliding Efficiency (%) | Effective Slide Length (ft) | Slide Length (ft) | Motor Yield (deg/100ft) | Average Toolface (deg) |
|-----|------------------|-------------|------------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------|-------------------------|------------------------|
| 19 | 6421.27 | 46.07 | 74.31 | 21.45 | 28.86 | 6.27 | -24.40 |
| 18 | 6139.39 | 54.61 | 96.13 | 38.84 | 40.40 | 8.14 | 89.48 |
| 17 | 5485.65 | 48.32 | 77.90 | 14.76 | 18.94 | 9.71 | -52.10 |
| 16 | 5386.33 | 47.46 | 83.57 | 23.16 | 27.71 | 10.52 | 175.15 |
| 15 | 5300.22 | 36.88 | 75.82 | 13.16 | 17.36 | 9.43 | -177.43 |
| 14 | 5197.81 | 41.08 | 75.36 | 16.09 | 21.35 | 10.86 | -144.13 |
| 13 | 4728.67 | 40.41 | 74.07 | 10.94 | 14.77 | 7.09 | 39.98 |
| 12 | 4163.09 | 59.09 | 68.13 | 17.19 | 25.23 | 5.44 | 6.84 |
| 11 | 3692.63 | 53.55 | 73.71 | 22.94 | 31.13 | 5.85 | 14.33 |
| 10 | 3129.32 | 53.08 | 88.47 | 26.08 | 29.47 | 6.43 | 24.96 |
| 9 | 2752.38 | 74.45 | 85.80 | 20.48 | 23.87 | 6.29 | 9.56 |
| 8 | 2377.93 | 135.26 | 77.61 | 22.93 | 29.55 | 8.64 | 22.38 |
| 7 | 2000.06 | 84.55 | 94.33 | 28.41 | 30.12 | 9.86 | 70.79 |
| 6 | 1429.03 | 138.21 | 91.32 | 25.86 | 28.32 | 8.64 | -158.78 |

A **No:** Slide number

B **Slide Depth:** Depth of the slide

C **ROP:** Rate of Penetration

D **Sliding Efficiency:** Calculates toolface consistency in percent

i 100% indicates that the toolface was going exactly the average toolface for the entire slide. 80% indicates that the toolface was going the average for 80% of the slide.

E **Effective Slide Length:** How far the slide went in the direction of the average toolface.

i Slide length x Sliding Efficiency

F **Slide Length:** Total length of the slide in Measured Depth.

G **Motor Yield:** Dogleg Severity / Percent Sliding

H **Average Toolface:** Depth-weighted average toolface.